

Scott E. Thomas Jennifer L. Carrier Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1825 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

JUL 1 1 2014

RE:

MUR 6734 Donald Simms Susan Simms

Dear Mr. Thomas and Ms. Carrier:

On May 14, 2013, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, Donald and Susan Simms, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your clients at that time.

Upon further review of this matter, including the recent Supreme Court decision in FEC v. McCutcheon, the Commission, on July 2, 2014, voted to dismiss this matter. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact Elena Paoli, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1548.

Sincerely,

Mark Allen

While all

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure: Factual and Legal Analysis

32

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2			
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
4		P P G P O V I P E V M G	MUD (72)
5		RESPONDENTS:	MUR: 6734
6		John Conning	Vincent Mai
7 8		John Canning Jeffrey Hurt	Prem Reddy
9		Donald Simms	Robert Beal
10		Susan Simms	Richard Uihlein
11		David Wallace	Philip Geier
12		Robert Reynolds	L. Scott Frantz
13		David Boies	John Cooney
14		Thomas Cushman	Elizabeth Wiskemann
15		Joe Murphy	Edward Donaghy
16		Thomas Fay	Michael Keiser
17		Thomas Girardi	John Roeser
18		Amy Goldman	Josephine Freede
19		John Harris	Don Scifres
20		David Herro	Timothy Travis
21		Carl A. Davis	William Smithburg
22		Kurt Wheeler	Alan Sieroty
23			
24		TATE OF LOCAL	
25	I.	INTRODUCTION	
26	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission		
27	("Commission") by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Melanie Sloan		
28	("CREW") and the Campaign Legal Center and Paul S. Ryan ("CLC") alleging that 32		
29	individuals violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.5(b)(1)(i) by exceeding the		
30	\$42,600 aggregate biennial limit for contributions to candidate committees during the 2012		
31	election cycle.		

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Act and Commission regulations set biennial limits on the aggregate amount that an individual may contribute to all authorized candidate committees during each two-year period beginning on January 1 of an odd-numbered year and ending on December 31 of the next even-numbered year. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 110.5(b)(1)(i).

MUR 6734 (Canning, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

- On April 2, 2014, the Supreme Court invalidated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3), holding that the
- 2 aggregate biennial contribution limits do not further the government's interest in preventing
- actual or apparent quid pro quo corruption. FEC v. McCutcheon, 134 S. Ct. 1434 (2014).
- 4 Accordingly, we dismiss the complaint and close the file.